

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,201	09/02/2003	Yo Yanagida	06753.0561 8557	
22852	7590 01/25/2006	EXAMINER		
	I, HENDERSON, FAR	PARRIES, DRU M		
LLP 901 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2836	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					~			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/652,20	1	YANAGIDA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Dru M. Par		2836				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REID HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING	DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no ever riod will apply and will atute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status			•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 June 2004.						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2004 is/are:	: a)⊠ accepte	ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t							
44.	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn		· ·					
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	·152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	<ul> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the p</li></ul>		• •		200			
	•	•		ed iii tiiis National St	age			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		· • • •	,					
Attachmen	it(s)							
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P		52)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-2-04</u> .	· <del>- •</del> ,	6) Other:	••	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/652,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al. (2005/0168072), Hedrick et al. (3,796,920), and Whyte et al. (4,210,901). Saito teaches a vehicle with power line communication devices ("modules"), which are disposed in the front, rear, left and right doors of the vehicle (DDM, PDM, RRDM, RLDM) (Fig. 1; last three lines of [0129]; [0130], lines 12-21). Saito fails to teach relay units on the power lines in between these modules. Hedrick teaches a relay unit (Fig. 1a) on a power line. The relay unit comprises separators (LT-1, LT-2), first and second receivers (RA-1, RA-2), first and second transmitters (TA-1, TA-2), and a processor (BBR-1) that relays the communication signal. Hedrick fails to teach first and second switches at either end of the power line at the input of the transmitters/receivers. Whyte teaches a relay unit (80) with switches (SW1, SW2) at both ends of the power line at the inputs of the transmitter/receiver, which are controlled by a processor (118) (Fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add Hedrick's relay units to the power/communication lines of Saito's invention so that the communication (control) signals can reach their specified destination (module) without any problems (i.e. noise, attenuation). It also would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 10/652,201

Art Unit: 2836

the art at the time of the invention to incorporate switches into Saito's invention at the inputs to the relay units to control the signal flow path of the communication signals.

Page 3

3. Claims 2, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al. (2005/0168072), Whyte et al. (4,210,901), and Udren (4,475,209). Saito teaches a vehicle with power line communication devices ("modules"), which are disposed in the front, rear, left and right doors of the vehicle (DDM, PDM, RRDM, RLDM) (Fig. 1; last three lines of [0129]; [0130], lines 12-21). Saito fails to teach relay units on the power lines in between these modules. Whyte teaches relay units (80) on power lines (28, 30) in between two communication devices (14 and 72). He teaches each relay unit comprising first and second switches (SW1, SW2), a receiver (116), a transmitter (120), and a processor (118). He also teaches how the relay unit works in operation (Col. 7, lines 27-62). Based on the operation of the device, it is inherent that the processor "judges" the direction of transmission of the received signal to determine which way to transmit it after being relayed to the transmitter (120). Whyte fails to teach a separator on the power line. Udren teaches a relay unit with a separator (38, 40) on the power line (Col. 4, lines 55-61). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add Whyte's relay units to the power/communication lines of Saito's invention so that the communication (control) signals can reach their specified destination (module) without any problems (i.e. noise, attenuation). It also would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add a separator onto the power line to block propagation of the data signal so that the data signal can be coupled to the relay unit.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,201 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dru M. Parries whose telephone number is (571) 272-8542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday from 8:00am to 5:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on 571-272-2800 x 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**DMP** 

1-17-2006

BRIAN SIRCUS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500